****	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/773,490	DOMBEK, MANFRED
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos Lopez	1731
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Carlos Lopez</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Chad Anderson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 October 2003	Time : <u>3pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-12		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to further clarify that claims 12 and 18 have a means for convoluting the strip about the tubular wrapper, claims 12 and 18 have been amended as follows: In claim 12, lines 8-10, "whereby the wrappers are caused to roll due to contact with said surface and to thus convolute the strips thereabout" was deleted and - - means for convoluting the strips about the wrappers- - was inserted.

In claim 18, lines 8-10, "whereby the wrappers are caused to roll due to contact with said surface and to thus convolute the strips thereabout" was deleted and - - means for convoluting the strips about the wrappers- - was inserted.

Additionally, claims 31-32 have been cancelled..